Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/15 : CIA-RDP90B01390R000400480014-9

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT ROUTING SLIP

O:			ACTION	INFO	DATE	T
L	1	DCI		X	DATE	INITIA
L	2	DDCI		$\frac{\ddot{x}}{x}$		ļ
L	3	EXDIR	1	v		
	4	D/ICS	X	Χ		
	5	DDI	 			
L	6	DDA	 			
	7	DDO	 			
	В	DDS&T	 			
9	2	Chm/NIC	 			
10	1	GC				
11	I	G				
12	$\int c$	ompt				
13	P	/OLL		X		
14	D	/PAO		X		
15		/PERS				
16		C/NIC				
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
	SU	ISPENSE	5 Apr	- 86		
		have	Do	te	-	

Remarks Please have response to questions prepared for DCI signature. Executive Secretary 25 Mar 86 3637 (10-81)

STAT

LITEH, HAMILTON, INDIANA, CHARMAN

LOUIS STOKES, OMO
DAVE MCCURDY, OKLAHOMA
ANTHONY C...EILENSON, CALIFORMA
ROBERT W. KASTEMMEIER, WISCONSIN
DAN DANIEL, VIRGINIA
ROBERT A. ROE, NEW JERSEY
GEORGE E. BROWN, JR., CALIFORMIA
MATTHEW F. MCHUGH, NEW YORK
BERNARD J. DWYER, NEW JERSEY

BOB STUMP, ARIZONA ANDY IRELAND, PLORIDA HENRY J. HYDE, BLUNOIS DICK CHENEY, WYOMING BOB LIVINGSTON, LOUISIANA BOB MCEWEN, OHIO

THOMAS K. LATIMER, STAFF DIRECTOR MICHAEL J. O'NEIL, CHIEF COUNSEL STEVEN K. BERRY, ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20515

	٤٥- 1274X
OCA FILE_	HPSCI

ROOM H-405, U.S. CAPITOL

(202) 225-4121

Executive Registry

March 25, 1986

OCA	FILE	HPSCI
RECF	Y #	

Honorable William J. Casey Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Casey:

The Committee will markup the fiscal year 1987 Intelligence Authorization Bill about April 15.

Congressional review of the overall budget, carried out by the Budget Committees, indicates that reductions of eight to twelve percent in budget authority will be required in the national security budget function. The Intelligence Committee may be expected to offer reductions comparable to those imposed on Defense as a whole.

It would be helpful to the Committee if you would respond by April 7 to the following:

- If a Gramm-Rudman-Hollings sequestration of ten percent were imposed, what would be the impact on each NFIP program (CCP, CIAP, etc.)?
- 2. Assume that sequestration is to be avoided by a ten percent Congressional reduction. Most witnesses at our budget hearings have argued that across-the-board reductions are not the best way to reduce the budget. The general theme has been that some programs (particularly hardware-intensive programs) are better reduced by cancelling some programs rather than reducing all. Given this greater flexibility, what changes to the FY 1987 budget would you make to achieve a ten percent reduction? We assume that all projects would not be cut evenly and that the various NFIP programs would not be cut equally.
- 3. You have argued that intelligence is a high priority activity which must be reduced less than Defense may be reduced overall. Many Members of the Committee agree. However, Committee Members will be faced with the task of trying to support activities largely unknown to other Members in a very limited time and do so in forums which are unclassified. Naturally, other Members and Committees will have high priorities, too. We believe that it will be necessary for the

-2-

President or the Secretary of Defense to state in some manner that he wishes intelligence programs protected (to some level which he would define) even at the expense of the rest of the Defense budget. Can such support from the President be expected?

We realize that these are very difficult questions. One witness, responding to question 2 stated that he could not answer because the Congress would have to tell him what it didn't want to buy. The Committee will do that for some items, but we believe you should have the opportunity to provide advice both now and as the budget process continues to unfold.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

Lee H. Hamilton Chairman